

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADT SECURITY SERVICES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SECURITY ONE INT'L, INC. *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 11-CV-05149 YGR

ORDER REGARDING PARTIES' LETTER BRIEF
CONCERNING CONFIDENTIALITY
DESIGNATIONS

The Court has reviewed the parties' Letter Brief Concerning Confidentiality Designations, (Dkt. No. 109). The Court previously ordered Security One to produce its customer list to allow ADT to compare that list with a list of former ADT customers to determine which of Security One's current customers used to be ADT customers. (Dkt. No. 94.) ADT has since compiled a list of the results of the cross-referencing procedure (the "cross referencing list"). The Court understands the parties' dispute to be whether the confidentiality designation of the "cross-referencing list" should be changed from "Attorneys' Eyes Only-Highly Confidential" to "Confidential" to permit non-attorney members of ADT's in-house legal department to review the "cross-referencing list."

The Court **ORDERS** as follows:

- 1) The "cross-referencing list" will remain "Attorneys' Eyes Only-Highly Confidential" with respect to ADT.
- 2) The following non-attorney members of ADT's in-house legal department may review the "cross-referencing list" to assist in ADT's investigation and to provide assistance in compiling documents responsive to Security One's discovery requests:
 - Paralegals; and
 - Legal interns from accredited law schools that are currently on staff.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28